

Unlocking the Secrets of an Ancient Antimicrobial, Honey, Using Modern Transcriptomic Techniques

A Thesis

by

DANIEL BOUZO

Submitted to the University of Technology Sydney
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

June 2020

© Copyright by Daniel Bouzo 2020

All Rights Reserved

Certificate of Original Authorship

I, Daniel Bouzo declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Science at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Programme and a UTS Doctoral Scholarship awarded to Daniel Bouzo.

Signature:

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 22/06/2020

Acknowledgements

“Success, after all, loves a witness, but failure can’t exist without one.”

— Junot Díaz, *The Brief Wonderful Life of Oscar Wao*

A doctorate degree can be a long and difficult journey with frequent failures and intermittent successes. Scientists are quick to witness and concede our own failures but often it takes the people around us to recognise our successes in order for us to accept them. I would like to acknowledge the people who have done so much to celebrate successes along the way and help me persevere through the challenges.

I’d like to express my gratitude and thanks to Professor Liz Harry for her endless encouragement and support over the years. Liz been an amazing scientific advisor but also a truly inspirational person; her positive attitude and passion to see the positive impact of science has been motivating and encouraging when things got tough. She has been very influential on the way I live my life and view science. Thank you for always believing in me. I’d also like to extend a huge thank you to my co-supervisor Dr Karl Hassan for his scientific advice and assistance throughout this project. He has been a great mentor with a cool and calm demeanour that has been unbelievably valuable. Thank you for taking the time to thoughtfully answer all of endless questions. My sincerest gratitude goes to my co-supervisor Dr Nural Cokcetin, words cannot describe all that she has done for me. She has been there to pick me up when I’ve felt defeated and cheer me on when I’ve succeeded. Thank you Nural for all the ‘tea-briefs’ and insights you have given me over the years, you have been my biggest supporter and I don’t think I could have come this far without you. Your friendship is one of the greatest things to come from this PhD.

I would also like to thank present and past members of the Harry lab who have given technical assistance in the lab and feedback for many of my presentations. Thank you to Amy, Liz P, Matt Phillips, Beth, Nienke, Isabella, Shirin, Riti, Ken, Matt Payne, Jaye and Andrew. Thank you to Rose Farlow, Maria Rezcallah and Jordana Goth who assisted with parts of this project during their undergraduate internship programmes.

I'd also like to thank Dr Giulia Ballerin for her help with fluorescent microscopy experiments as well as Dr James Lazenby, Joyce To and Prof Cynthia Whitchurch for providing resources and sharing their knowledge of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Thank you to Dr Liping Li for the insightful scientific discussions and teaching me how to conduct flow cytometry. Thank you Dr Evelyne Deplazes and Dr Alvaro Garcia for their help with tethered membrane bilayer experiments. A special thanks to Dr Shona Blair who has been instrumental in sparking my interest in honey. Thank you to Comvita for providing honey samples used in this project, Prof Marilyn Manley-Harris for her advice on an artificial honey recipe and Dr Peter Brooks for the chemical characterisation of honey samples. Thank you to Prof Ian Paulsen for helpful discussions and advice and also Prof Helen Zgurskaya for providing strains used in this thesis.

I'd like to thank my long-time friends (aka the 'swarm') Daniel, Tom, Con, Alasdair and Dave, who have listened to me talk non-stop about how great manuka honey is. Thank you for reminding me that there is a world outside of my PhD. Finally, I'd like to thank my family; my parents, Justo and Frances, my sister Raquel and brother-in-law Gian and my abuelas, Maruja and Filomena. Your moral support and

interest in my research has been an immense source of motivation. You've been understanding and encouraging for which I am eternally grateful. Thank you to my partner Isabelle, you have been immensely patient and understanding, you encouraged me to never give up and be proud of what I have achieved.

Abstract

Antibiotic resistance has been described as an ‘apocalyptic’ threat to human health. As resistance to antibiotics is common soon after they are introduced to clinical use, there is little investment in their development, thus prompting interest in complex natural products as antimicrobials. Honey has been used as a topical wound treatment throughout history, predominantly due to its antimicrobial activity. Manuka honey (from *Leptospermum scoparium* trees) has broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity effective against antibiotic resistant pathogens, such as ciprofloxacin-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and is currently approved as a wound treatment. Unlike traditional antibiotics, bacterial resistance to honey has not been reported, however honey remains underutilised in the clinic partly due to a lack of understanding of its mechanism of action.

Through passaging experiments, it was found that honey resistance cannot be induced under conditions that rapidly induced resistance to antibiotics. This is due to the complex composition of honey, which is likely to have multiple modes of action, unlike traditional single target antibiotics. The mechanism of action of honey and its key components, methylglyoxal and sugar, was investigated using a transcriptomic approach in a model organism, *P. aeruginosa*. Results indicate that no single component of honey accounts for its total antimicrobial action and that honey causes DNA and oxidative damage, and affects pathways involved in cell motility, central carbon metabolism, and quorum sensing – explained only partially by its key components.

Manuka honey uniquely upregulates genes involved in the explosive cell lysis process, an autolysis mechanism in *P. aeruginosa*. Honey also downregulates the expression of genes involved in maintaining the electron transport chain and causes protons to leak across biological membranes, ultimately collapsing the proton motive force. Flow cytometry data showed that treatment with manuka honey induces membrane depolarisation and permeabilisation in *P. aeruginosa* cells. This was confirmed by modelling membrane potential in liposomes and studying permeabilisation using electrical impedance spectroscopy of tethered lipid bilayer membranes. To investigate whether the membrane damaging action of honey could enhance the action of certain antibiotics, checkerboard assays were used to show that manuka honey acted synergistically with tetracyclines. Taken together, these data argue that manuka honey has multiple mechanisms of action, including the newly described membrane depolarising and permeabilising activity. This thesis contributes to the existing literature demonstrating the potent and unique antimicrobial activity of manuka honey which does not engender bacterial resistance, and supports its inclusion as part of the current array of wound treatments.

Table of Contents

Certificate of Original Authorship	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	vii
Table of Contents	ix
List of Figures and Tables	xii
List of Abbreviations	xiv
Publications and Awards	xvii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Re-emergence of Medicinal Honey	1
1.1.1 History of the use of honey as a medicinal treatment	1
1.1.2 Antibacterial components of honey	3
1.1.3 Why is honey underutilised in the clinic?	9
1.2 Wound infections: A Sticky Situation	14
1.2.1 What makes a wound 'chronic' and what impact do they have?	14
1.2.2 Wound Infection and Biofilms	15
1.2.3 Wound microbiome	16
1.2.4 The need for new treatments	19
1.3 Antibiotic Resistance	20
1.3.1 What is it and why is it a problem?	20
1.3.2 Honey: a sweet solution to the antibiotic resistance problem	23
1.4 Mechanism of Action Studies for Antibacterial Agents	24
1.4.1 Overview of methods for mechanism of action studies	24
1.4.2 Functional genomics & mutant screening	28
1.4.3 The mechanism of action of honey	29
1.5 Research Questions and Hypothesis	47
Chapter 2 The susceptibility of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> and <i>S. aureus</i>, and potential for resistance, to manuka honey and its key components	50
2.1 Introduction	50
2.2 Chapter aims	54
2.3 Methods	56
2.3.1 Honey samples and other treatments tested	56
2.3.2 Determination of Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) of antimicrobial agents	57
2.3.3 Stepwise sequential passaging assay	58
2.4 Results	60
2.4.1 Establishing the antimicrobial activity of manuka honey and its major components against <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PA14 and <i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 25923	60
2.4.2 Continuous exposure to manuka honey did not induce resistant phenotypes in <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	62
2.4.3 Continuous exposure to manuka honey did not induce resistant phenotypes in <i>S. aureus</i>	64
2.5 Discussion	66
2.5.1 Contribution of the key components of manuka honey to its total antimicrobial activity	67
2.5.2 Resistance to manuka honey cannot be induced, and is not related to a particular major component in honey	72
2.5.3 Reduced susceptibility to MGO and AHMGO may be due to increased activity of existing protection mechanisms	77

2.6	Conclusions	80
Chapter 3	Transcriptomic response of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> to manuka honey and its major components	82
3.1	Introduction	82
3.1.1	The complex nature of antimicrobial mechanisms of action	82
3.1.2	Applying modern transcriptomic techniques to understand how antimicrobials work	84
3.2	Chapter aims	87
3.3	Methods	87
3.3.1	Total RNA isolation and sequencing	87
3.3.2	Bioinformatic analysis	88
3.3.3	Validation of RNA-Seq results	89
3.3.4	Susceptibility testing of mutant strains and under anaerobic conditions	91
3.4	Results	92
3.4.1	What is the effect of manuka honey on the expression of genes, biological processes and pathways in <i>P. aeruginosa</i> ?	92
3.4.2	How does AH, MGO and AHMGO affect the transcriptome of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> compared to manuka honey?	101
3.4.3	What does manuka honey do to gene expression in <i>P. aeruginosa</i> that cannot be explained by its key components?	105
3.4.4	Does any single gene influence the susceptibility of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> to manuka honey?	108
3.5	Discussion	111
3.5.1	Major transcriptomic changes caused by manuka honey	111
3.5.2	The key components of manuka honey, alone or in combination, only partially account for its transcriptomic effect on <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	121
3.5.3	The multicomponent nature of honey means that it is likely to have multiple gene targets	122
3.6	Conclusions	123
Chapter 4	Exploring the cell biology of transcriptomic changes unique to manuka honey exposure	126
4.1	Introduction	126
4.1.1	Manuka honey uniquely causes increased expression of genes in a <i>P. aeruginosa</i> autolysis pathway	126
4.1.2	Manuka honey perturbs pathways involved in maintaining the proton motive force	129
4.2	Chapter Aims	132
4.3	Methods	134
4.3.1	Bacterial strains	134
4.3.2	GFP reporter assay using <i>hol</i> transcriptional promoter fusion	134
4.3.3	Explosive cell lysis microscopy	135
4.3.4	Liposome membrane potential assay	137
4.3.5	Tethered lipid bilayer electrical impedance spectroscopy	138
4.3.6	Membrane potential cytofluorimetry	139
4.3.7	Chequerboard microdilution assays to assess manuka honey-antibiotic interactions against <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	140
4.4	Results	141
4.4.1	Manuka honey does not induce the expression of <i>hol</i> in a GFP reporter assay	141
4.4.2	Visualising lysis events through live-cell fluorescence microscopy	144
4.4.3	Manuka honey, and not its key components, affects the PMF of <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	152

4.4.4	Manuka honey, but not its key components, can damage lipid bilayer membranes	162
4.5	Discussion	165
4.5.1	Experimental evidence does not support RNA-Seq data that suggest honey induces explosive cell lysis via induction of the tailocin gene cluster	166
4.5.2	Single deletions of tailocin genes do not alter susceptibility to manuka honey in <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PAO1	168
4.5.3	Manuka honey interferes with maintenance of membrane potential through membrane permeabilisation	169
4.6	Conclusions	175
Chapter 5	General Discussion	177
5.1	Why should we care about the antimicrobial activity of manuka honey?	177
5.2	What has this research contributed to our understanding of the antimicrobial activity of manuka honey?	179
5.3	What are the implications of this research?	184
5.4	Concluding remarks	189
Appendix		192
References		226

List of Figures and Tables

Figures

- Figure 1.1.** Above: A fresh sample of honey still in the comb. Below: A sample of honey dated at approximately 2500 years old, recovered from underground ruins in Paestum (Majno 1975). 1
- Figure 1.2.** An interpretation of the standard wound salve from the Smith papyrus (Majno 1975). 2
- Figure 1.3.** Bees foraging on *Leptospermum scoparium* flowers. Image provided courtesy of Comvita. 6
- Figure 1.4.** Time lag between the introduction of an antibiotic to the clinic and the first reported incidence of resistance. Reproduced from Shaban *et al.* 2013. 21
- Figure 1.5.** Antibiotic drug discovery timeline reproduced from World Health Organisation (World Health Organisation 2014). 21
- Figure 2.1.** Schematic depicting sequential passaging assay for the assessment of resistance development to manuka honey in *P. aeruginosa* PA14 and *S. aureus* ATCC 25923. 60
- Figure 2.2.** Sensitivity of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 during continuous exposure to concentrations of manuka honey (blue circles), AHMGO (red squares), MGO (green triangles) or ciprofloxacin (purple triangles) for 30 days. 63
- Figure 2.3.** Sensitivity of *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 during continuous exposure to concentrations of manuka honey (blue circles), AHMGO (red squares), MGO (green triangles) or ciprofloxacin (purple triangles) for 30 days. 65
- Figure 3.1.** Transcriptional response of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 treated at mid-exponential phase with manuka honey and honey analogues for 30 minutes at 0.5× MIC. 93
- Figure 3.2.** Summary of genome-wide expression changes in *P. aeruginosa* PA14 after treatment with A) manuka honey; B) MGO; C) AHMGO; and D) AH. 96
- Figure 3.3.** Percentage of genes of each PseudoCAP category which were differentially expressed ($\log_2 FC \geq \pm 2$ and $p_{adj} \leq 0.05$) after treatment with manuka honey (dark-red), MGO (light-blue), AHMGO (dark-blue) and AH (light-red). 98
- Figure 3.4.** Heatmaps show $\log_2 FC$ data for *P. aeruginosa* PA14 treated at mid-exponential phase with manuka honey and honey analogues for 30 minutes at 0.5 × MIC. A) quorum sensing genes B) oxidative stress response genes C) SOS response genes, D) tailocin genes, E) respiration genes for each treatment. 100
- Figure 3.5.** Venn diagram of differentially expressed genes ($\log_2 FC \geq 2$, $p_{adj} \leq 0.05$) in *P. aeruginosa* PA14 after treatment with (yellow) manuka honey (yellow), AHMGO (blue), MGO (pink) and AH (green) at 0.5× MIC. 103
- Figure 4.1.** Regulation of the tailocin gene cluster. I) In the absence of DNA damage, RecA mediated cleavage of PrtR does not occur. PrtR inhibits *prtN* and tailocin genes are not expressed. II) In the presence of DNA-damaging agents, *recA* is expressed, leading to RecA mediated cleavage of PrtR. PrtR no longer represses *prtN*, PrtN can be synthesised and activates tailocin gene cluster expression. Reproduced from (Michel-Briand & Baysse 2002). 128
- Figure 4.2.** Schematic representation of an inoculated TMGG-slab used to assess explosive cell lysis in *P. aeruginosa* PA14 136
- Figure 4.3.** Relative fluorescence intensity of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 pM0614-G reporter strain and the vector control pMLAC-G treated with manuka honey (5% w/v and 10% w/v), untreated control and ciprofloxacin treated positive controls (0.1 µg/mL and 0.01 µg/mL). 143
- Figure 4.4.** Representative phase contrast, fluorescent and overlay images of untreated *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells imaged every 30 minutes over 90 minutes. 146
- Figure 4.5.** Representative phase contrast, fluorescent and overlay images of ciprofloxacin (1×MIC) treated *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells imaged every 30 minutes over 90 minutes. 147
- Figure 4.6.** Representative phase contrast, fluorescent and overlay images of manuka honey (1×MIC) treated *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells imaged every 30 minutes over 90 minutes. 149

Figure 4.7. Ciprofloxacin induces explosive lysis in <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PA14. Treatment with A) 0.5× MIC and B) 1× MIC ciprofloxacin results in a change from rod shaped cells to round cells and ultimately lysis.	150
Figure 4.8. Representative flow data shown. Mid-exponential <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PAO1-LAC-EcPore cells were either untreated or treated with CCCP (100 µM) for 2 hours.	155
Figure 4.9. The effect of manuka honey on membrane potential measured as A) flow cytometry quantification of the percentage of DiBAC ₄ (3) positive exponential phase <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PAO1-EcPore cells treated for 2 hours with 100 µM CCCP, 10% w/v manuka honey, 10% AH, 10% w/v AHMGO, 10% MGO and no treatment control. The effect of manuka honey on membrane permeability measured as B) flow cytometry quantification of the percentage of TO-PRO [®] -3 positive exponential phase <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PAO1-EcPore cells treated for 2 hours with 100 µM CCCP, 10% w/v manuka honey, 10% AH, 10% w/v AHMGO, 10% MGO and no treatment control.	156
Figure 4.10. The effect of manuka honey on membrane potential measured as approximate internal pH of liposomes loaded with pH sensitive pyranine dye after treatment with 1% w/v artificial honey (blue), 1% w/v MGO (teal), 1% w/v AHMGO (purple), 1% manuka honey (orange), 1% manuka honey (tan).	159
Figure 4.11. Conductance of tethered lipid bilayer membranes comprised of POPE, treated with 5% w/v (top-left) AH, (top-right) AHMGO, (bottom-right) MH and (bottom-left) no treatment control.	164

Tables

Table 1.1 Summary of clinical trials and case studies conducted to evaluate the clinical efficacy of various honeys on wounds. Adapted from (Molan 2006; Wounds UK 2013).	12
Table 1.2. Summary of the proposed targets of manuka honey in bacteria.	31
Table 2.1. MICs and MBCs of manuka honey and honey analogues against <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PA14 and <i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 25923	61
Table 2.2. Minimum inhibitory concentrations of <i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 25923 and <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PA14 isolates from continuous exposure experiments.	66
Table 3.1. Total number of differentially expressed genes, number of upregulated and number of downregulated genes in each condition with a log ₂ fold change ≥ 2 and p.adj ≤ 0.05	94
Table 3.2. Genes in <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PA14 which are differentially expressed (log ₂ FC ≥ 2, p.adj ≤ 0.05) only after treatment with manuka honey and not with treatment of its key components.	106
Table 3.3. Susceptibility to manuka honey treatment for transposon insertion mutant strains of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PA14	110
Table 4.1. Strains used in this experimental chapter	134
Table 4.2. Susceptibility to manuka honey treatment for markerless in frame deletion strains of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PAO1	152
Table 4.3. Summary of results from checkerboard analysis of the individual and combined effects of honey and either tetracyclines or aminoglycosides on <i>P. aeruginosa</i> PA14 growth.	161

List of Abbreviations

°C	Degree Celsius
AH	Artificial honey
AHMGO	Artificial honey with methylglyoxal
AKRs	Aldo-keto reductases
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection
aw	Water activity
bp	Base pairs
CAMHA	Cation adjusted Mueller Hinton agar
CAMHB	Cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton broth
CCCP	Carbonyl cyanide <i>m</i> -chlorophenyl hydrazone
cDNA	Complementary DNA
CFU	Colony forming units
CLSI	Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
DHA	Dihydroxyacetone
DiBAC ₄ (3)	Bis-(1,3-Dibutylbarbituric Acid) Trimethine Oxonol
DMSO	Dimethylsulfoxide
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
ECL	Explosive cell lysis
eDNA	Extracellular deoxyribonucleic acid
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EIS	Electrical Impedance Spectroscopy
FC	Fold change
FSC	Forward Scatter
FICI	Fractional Inhibitory Concentration Index
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
g	Grams
<i>G</i>	G-force
g/L	Grams per litre
g/mL	Grams per millilitre
GFP	Green fluorescent protein
h	Hours
H ₂ O ₂	Hydrogen peroxide

HEPES	4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid
HPLC	High-performance liquid chromatography
IPTG	Isopropyl-1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside
kb	Kilobase
kg	Kilogram
LB	Luria Bertani (Miller)
M	Molar
MBC	Minimum bactericidal concentration
MDR	Multidrug resistant
mg	Milligram
mg/kg	Milligram per kilogram
mg/mL	Milligram per millilitre
MGO	Methylglyoxal
MH	Manuka honey
MIC	Minimum inhibitory concentration
min	Minutes
mL	Millilitre
mM	Millimolar
mRNA	Messenger ribonucleic acid
MRSA	Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
NADPH	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
nm	Nanometre
NPA	Non-peroxide activity
O ₂ ⁻	Superoxides
OD	Optical Density
<i>P</i>	<i>P</i> -value
p.adj	Adjusted <i>p</i> -value
PCA	Principal component analysis
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
pH	Potential of hydrogen
PMF	Proton Motive Force
POPE	1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl- <i>sn</i> -glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine

POPC	1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
ppm	Parts per million
PQS	Pseudomonas quinolone signalling molecule
QS	Quorum sensing
RCT	Randomised controlled trial
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
rRNA	Ribosomal ribonucleic acid
RNA-Seq	RNA Sequencing
RNAase	Ribonuclease A
RND	Resistance-nodulation-division
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
rpm	Revolutions per minute
RT-qPCR	Reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction
SCV	Small colony variant
SD	Standard deviation
sec(s)	Second/s
SOS	‘Save-our-souls’
SSC	Side scatter
tBLM	Tethered Lipid Bilayer Membranes
TGA	Therapeutic Goods Administration
TMGG	Twitching motility gellan gum
Tris	Tris(hydroxymethyl)methylamine
UMF [®]	Unique Manuka Factor [®]
v/v	Volume per volume
WHO	World Health Organisation
w/v	Weight per volume
Δ pH	Proton gradient
$\Delta\psi$	Electrical potential
μ g	Micrograms
μ g/mL	Micrograms per millilitre
μ L	Microlitre
μ m	Micrometre
μ S	Microsiemens

Publications and Awards

Peer-reviewed publications

Bouzo D, Cokcetin N, Li L, Ballerin G, Bottomley A, Lazenby J, Whitchurch CB, Paulsen I, Hassan K, Harry E, 2020 (Accepted), Characterizing the mechanism of action of an ancient antimicrobial, manuka honey, against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* using modern transcriptomics, *mSystems*, 5:e00106-20. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00106-20>.

Carter D, Blair S, Cokcetin N, Bouzo D, Brooks P, Schothauer R, Harry E (2016), Therapeutic manuka honey: no longer so alternative, *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 569

Publications in preparation

Williams S, Cokcetin N, Farlow R, Bouzo D, Blair S, Carter D, Brooks P, Harry E (in preparation), Active Australian *Leptospermum* honey: new sources and their bioactivity

Awards

Sydney Bioinformatics Research Symposium Runner-up in the ‘Fast-forward’ presentation category, 2018

SPARK Global Biomedical Innovation and Entrepreneurship Programme International Runner-up Award (University of Tokyo, Japan), 2017

Loraine Holley Essay Prize Winner, 2016

Best Oral Presentation Award at New Horizons Conference, Sydney, 2015

UTS Doctoral Scholarship Recipient, 2015

Conference and seminar proceedings

Unlocking the secrets of an ancient antibiotic, honey, using modern transcriptomic techniques, oral presentation at the Amateur Beekeeper Association of NSW Annual Conference, Western Sydney University, 2019

Unlocking the secrets of an ancient antibiotic, honey, using modern transcriptomic techniques, invited department seminar, ithree institute, University of Technology Sydney, 2019

Unlocking the secrets of an ancient antibiotic: honey, oral presentation at the Sydney Bee Meeting, Sydney, 2019

Investigating the activity of an ancient antimicrobial, honey, using modern transcriptomics, oral presentation at the Gordon Research Conference for Microbial Stress Responses, South Hadley Massachusetts USA, 2018.

Investigating the activity of an ancient antimicrobial, honey, using modern transcriptomics, poster presentation at the Gordon Research Conference for Microbial Stress Responses, South Hadley Massachusetts USA, 2018.

Investigating the activity of an ancient antimicrobial, honey, using modern transcriptomics, oral presentation at the Sydney Bioinformatics Research Symposium, Sydney, 2018.

Investigating the activity of an ancient antimicrobial, honey, using modern transcriptomics, poster presentation at the Sydney Bioinformatics Research Symposium, Sydney, 2018.

Unlocking the secrets of an ancient antibiotic: honey, invited department seminar, Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, 2018.

*Characterising the antibacterial mechanism of action of manuka honey on *P. aeruginosa*, oral presentation at the International Conference on Antimicrobial Research, Malaga, Spain, 2016.*

*Investigation the effects of manuka honey on bacterial growth using the model organism *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, oral presentation at the New Horizons Combined Health Science Meeting, Sydney, 2015.*